



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/769,922	01/25/2001	Takaya Iemura	P/3156-20 6154		
75	90 06/09/2004	EXAMINER			
Steven I Weisburd Esq			LE, AMANDA T		
	ro Morin & Oshinsky LL	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	the Americas 41st Floor				
New York, NY	10036-2/14	2634	6		
			DATE MAILED: 06/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/769,9	22	IEMURA, TAKAYA			
		Examine	r	Art Unit			
		Amanda		2634			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisior SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum are to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no extend in the standard in the standard price of the standard properties of the standard properties of the standard in	rent, however, may a reply be ti tutory minimum of thirty (30) da rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on 1/25/01.					
· · · · ·	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is r	ion-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition	n for allowance excep	for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the a	application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
7)							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or election i	equirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e: a) accepted or b	□ objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any obj	ection to the drawing(s)	oe held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	ng the correction is requi	ed if the drawing(s) is of	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)(Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	y documents have been been been been been been been be	en received. en received in Applicat ents have been receiv le 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage			
- 8	See the attached detailed Office acti	on for a list of the cert	ried copies not receive	ea.			
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary				
3) 🛛 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o r No(s)/Mail Date <u>3, 5</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/769,922

Art Unit: 2634

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 6, 7, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The following limitations "a second multiplier" (claims 6, 7, line 3), "a second adder" (claims 6, 7), "a second low-pass filter" (claim 8), "a fourth multiplier" (claim 8) are unclear since "the first" multiplier, adder was not recited in the independent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over admitted prior art in view of Wynn (6,009,317, submitted with IDS #5).

Admitted prior art (see Fig. 11 of the pending application) discloses almost all the claimed subject matters, except for "a quadrature controller" and "a quadrature error detection unit" for correcting a quadrature error between phases of an in-phase component and a quadrature component of said signal.

Application/Control Number: 09/769,922

Art Unit: 2634

Wynn discloses a circuit (Fig. 3) which comprises the quadrature error detection unit (62) and the quadrature controller (79) for compensating quadrature imbalances between the inphase and quadrature signals (Abstract, col. 1-3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the prior art receiver, using Wynn's teachings, to incorporate the quadrature error compensation feature. Such modification would allow the correction of undesirable quadrature imbalances, thereby obtaining accurate inphase and quadrature demodulated signals, as suggested by Wynn.

5. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over admitted prior art in view of Masenten (6,535,560).

Admitted prior art (see Fig 11 of the pending application) discloses almost all the claimed subject matters, except for "a quadrature controller" having the claimed arrangement and "a quadrature error detection unit" for correcting a quadrature error between phases of an in-phase component and a quadrature component of said signal.

Masenten discloses an adaptive calibration system (Fig. 3) comprising of the quadrature controller having the claimed arrangement (138, 139, 146) and the quadrature error detection unit (154, Fig. 4) for compensating quadrature errors (col. 1, 2, col. 3, lines 42, col. 7, lines 10-15).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the prior art receiver, using Masenten's teachings, to incorporate the quadrature error compensation feature. Such modification would allow the correction of undesirable quadrature

Art Unit: 2634

imbalances, thereby obtaining accurate inphase and quadrature demodulated signals, as suggested by Masenten.

Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 1-9 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-26 of U.S. Patent No. 6,310,513. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the subject matters recited in the pending claimed invention are disclosed by the patented claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 6, 7, 9 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph and/or the double patenting rejection set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/769,922

Art Unit: 2634

9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Prior art of record, taken individually or collectively, fails to incorporate in the quadrature error

compensation circuit a quadrature error detection unit or an automatic gain controller having the

claimed arrangement.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Genrich and Le Polozec et al disclose different arrangements for quadrature error

correction.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amanda T Le whose telephone number is (703)305-4769.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on (703)305-6714. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AMANDA T. LE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5